UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,649	07/15/2003	Kazuyuki Seki	240347US2	5261
	7590 04/01/200 AK, MCCLELLAND 1	EXAMINER		
1940 DÚKE ST	REET	YODER III, CHRISS S		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2622		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/01/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/618,649	SEKI, KAZUYUKI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
CHRISS S. YODER III	2622	

		CHRISS S. YODER III	2622	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE	REPLY FILED 29 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Ciperiods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, www.with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a)	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b)	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. LED WITHIN TWO
have l under set for may re	isions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of externance of the signal	ension and the corresponding amount on tension and the corresponding amount on tension and the correct of the c	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. 🔲	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
	NDMENTS			
3. 🔀	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);	
	 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a o 			ie issues for
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11			
4. 🔲	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. 🔲	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. 🔲	non-allowable claim(s).			_
7. 🔼	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-5 and 10-16. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an ex	kplanation of
<u>AFFII</u>	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after en	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. 🗌	The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
_	Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (I Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
		/Tuan V Ho/		

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2622

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

Limitations from independent claims 2 & 4, as well as limitations from claim 3, which was considered to depend from claim 2, have been added to independent claim 1. As such, these limitations, although they have been examined individually, have yet to be examined in combination. Therefore, the newly amended claim 1 would require further consideration and/or search.

Previously independent claim 5, and claim 16, which depends from claim 5 have been amended to depend from claim 1. As such, these limitations have yet to be examined as being dependent from claim 1. Therefore, the newly amended claim 5 and claim 16 would require further consideration and/or search.

Additionally, claims 11 & 12 have been amended to depend from claim 1. As such, these limitations have yet to be examined as being dependent from claim 1. Therefore, the newly amended claims 11 & 12 would require further consideration and/or search..